

READING Review all previous handouts. Study PSEUDOCODE conventions in handouts. By Monday: review graph theory (Discrete Math).

IMPORTANT. If you have not done so yet, please send e-mail to the instructor with your name, major, year, type of credit sought (letter grade, P/F, etc.), list of proof-oriented math courses previously taken; include whether or not you took CMSC-27100 (Discrete Math). In the subject write 27200 info or 37000 info, as appropriate.

HOMEWORK. Please **print your name on each sheet**. Print “U” next to your name if you seek 27200 credit and “G” if you seek 37000 credit. Undergraduates receive the stated number of points as *bonus points* for “G only” problems. – Please try to make your solutions readable. Unless expressly stated otherwise, all solutions are due at the **beginning of the next class**.

Homework is collected in three separate piles (U, G, “G only”). Please put your solutions to “G only” problems on that pile, and your solutions to other problems on the “U” or “G” pile according to the credit you seek.

Recall from class:

DEFINITION. A function $f(n)$ is **polynomially bounded** if

$$(\exists C)(f(n) = O(n^C)).$$

We say that C is an *admissible exponent*.

We say that an algorithm is **polynomial time** if the cost of the algorithm is polynomially bounded as a function of the *length of the input*. Note that this is a *worst-case* concept.

Recall the following characterization of polynomially bounded functions.

THEOREM. Suppose $f(n) \geq 1$ for all sufficiently large n . Then the function $f(n)$ is **polynomially bounded** if and only if $\ln f(n) = O(\ln n)$.

- 2.1 (G only) (3 points) Can we omit the condition “ $f(n) \geq 1$ ” in the Theorem? Prove your answer.
- 2.2 (U, G) (2 points) True or false: $\log_2(n) = \Theta(\ln n)$. Prove your answer.
- 2.3 (U, G) (4 points each) Decide whether or not each of the following functions is polynomially bounded. Prove your answers. If your answer is “yes,” state all admissible exponents. (a) $n^2 \log n$; (b) $8^{\log n}$; (c) $2^{\sqrt{n}}$; (d) (G only) $(\lceil \log n \rceil)!$.
- 2.4 (U, G) (10 points, due Friday, January 14) An algorithm takes positive integers x as input (in binary) and requires $\lfloor 2^{\sqrt{\log x}} \rfloor$ time. Is this a polynomial time algorithm? State and prove your answer. Give a proof of the

required asymptotic relation. *Caveat.* Remember that the complexity of an algorithm is measured as a function of the *length* of the input. In case of integer inputs, this means the bit-length (total number of bits).

- 2.5 (U,G) (12 points, due Friday, January 14) A “string over the English alphabet” means a sequence of letters of the English alphabet. A *substring* is obtained by deleting some of the letters. (It is permitted to delete all or to delete none.) Note that substrings *do not need to be contiguous*. Examples: ATTIC and HEAT are substrings of MATHEMATICS, but EMMA and HATE are not.)

Given two strings of respective lengths m and n over the English alphabet, find a longest common substring in $O(mn)$ steps. Example: ($m = 11, n = 15$): input: MATHEMATICS, COMPUTERSCIENCE. Output: MTEIC.

Describe your algorithm in *pseudocode*. (The strings are given as arrays of characters.) Name the method used.

If you introduce auxiliary variables, define them in English. A method of calculation is no substitute for a *simple and natural definition*. That definition is the key to the solution and account for at least half the credit. *Elegance counts*.

Hint. This is yet another member of a family of very elegant algorithms discussed in class and in handouts.

- 2.6 (U,G) (for your entertainment only, 0 points, do not hand in) Out of 12 given coins we know that one is counterfeit but we don’t know whether it is heavier or lighter. Given a balance, using three measurements determine which coin is counterfeit and whether it is heavier or lighter than the others. (All the normal coins have the same weight. Each measurement on the balance can result in three outcomes: left-heavy (L), right-heavy (R), or equal (E).)

Grad version: give an *oblivious* strategy (you need to design the measurements in advance, the second measurement cannot depend on the outcome of the first, etc.) using three measurements.

- 2.7 (U,G) (a) (5 points) Prove that 3 measurements do not suffice if we have 14 coins in the preceding problem. – Your solution should be very elegant, just a couple of lines. Use a method studied in class for proving lower bounds on complexity. Name the method.
- (b) (G only, 10 points) Prove that 3 measurements do not suffice if we have 13 coins. *Elegance counts*. (Note: if you solve (b) then you solved (a) as well, but not in the most elegant way. You will not automatically receive the 5 points for (a), only if you separately give the most elegant solution to (a).)